



Image

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of: **Daijiro INOUE et al.**

Group Art Unit: **2828**

Serial No.: **09/746,065**

Examiner: **A. RODRIGUEZ**

Filed: **December 26, 2000**

Confirmation No.: **1991**

For: **SEMICONDUCTOR LASER DEVICE**

Attorney Docket No.: **001700**

Customer Number: **38834**

**LETTER**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

March 5, 2004

Sir:

Applicants submit the following comments with respect to the Examiner's remarks in the Notice of Allowance dated January 30, 2004.

In the Notice of Allowability, the Examiner indicated that the JP reference (JP 9-083071) filed with the IDS of October 31, 2003 was not considered because "it was not submitted with an English translation." However, Applicants note that the U.S. reference (U.S. Patent No. 5,933,443) filed with the JP reference was the counterpart reference of JP 9-083071, and was itself considered. Therefore, Applicant submits that (1) the IDS was properly submitted, and (2) that Applicant's duty of disclosure was satisfied with respect to both references.

Respectfully submitted,

**WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP**

  
Kenneth H. Salen  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 43,077

KHS/  
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 822-1100

Enclosures:

Q:\2000\001700\001700 Letter to PTO 3-5-04.doc